



## PSC 3001 Proposal Presentation Evaluation Rubric

Student Name: Ceré Rettig

Total Score: 45.5/50

Presentation Title: Looking for Dark Matter

### 1) Delivery and Language (10 possible points)

Score: 9.0

- Effective eye contact
- Excellent voice projection and articulation
- Well-organized and easy-to-follow presentation
- Proper use of scientific language

### 2) Visual Aids (10 possible points)

Score: 9.5

- Well-formatted and easy-to-read PowerPoint slides
- Use of relevant graphics
- Excellent integration of visual aids with oral content
- All sources must be cited on your final slide using the following professional format:  
Biology/Chemical Biology: American Physiological Society
  - <http://www.the-aps.org/mm/Publications/Info-For-Authors/Composition#references>Biology/Chemical Biology: Cell
  - <http://www.cell.com/authors#sections>Chemistry/Chemical Biology: American Chemical Society
  - <http://library.williams.edu/citing/styles/acs.php>Physics: American Physical Society
  - <http://publish.aps.org/files/styleguide-pr.pdf> (see pages 7-8)

### 3) Scientific Content (30 possible points)

Score: 27.0

- Introduction (5 points) 4.0
- Related Work (5 points) 3.5
- Objectives (3 points) 3.0
- Materials and Methods (5 points) 4.5
- Sustainability (2 points) 2.0
- Budget (4 points) 4.0
- Timeline (4 points) 4.0
- Key Personnel (2 points) 2.0
- *The required content of these sections is explained in the PSC 3001 Spring 2014 -Senior Project Proposal instructions document, which is posted on Blackboard.*

**4) Comments:** Some terms were not well defined and the presentation was difficult to understand at times for non-physicists. I really liked your confidence and passion for the subject matter!